


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT DANVILLE, VA
FILED

MAR 23 2015

JULIA C DUDLEY, CLERK
BY: 
DEPUTY CLERK

United States of America)

v.)

DAVID SERVIN-TERRASAS)

Case No: 4:06cr00004-001

USM No: 11956-084

Date of Previous Judgment: 09/06/2006)

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months is reduced to _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____

Amended Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other (explain): _____

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS


Because Defendant was sentenced to the minimum period of incarceration permitted by statute, no reduction is authorized. Defendant's Motions to Reduce Sentence [ECF Nos. 96, 97] are DENIED. Additionally, Defendant's Motion to Appoint Counsel [ECF No. 97] is DENIED.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 09/06/2006 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 03/23/2015

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)


Judge's signature
Hon. Jackson L. Kiser, Senior U.S. District Judge
Printed name and title